



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/619,540	07/19/2000	Katsuhiko Nagasaki	862.C1956 2252		
5514	7590 09/30/2002				
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			RAHMJOO, MIKE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2672		
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A

	,	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/619,540		NAGASAKI, KATSUHIKO			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Mike Rahn	njoo	2672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 July 2000</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	,			osecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.							
• —	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u>3</u> .		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and 1	redemark Office		•				

Application/Control Number: 09/619,540

Art Unit: 2672

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakamoto et al, hereinafter, Sakamoto.

As per claims 1 Sakamoto teaches designation means for designating a display direction of a display in fig 4, block 11a and column 4, lines 35-41; storage means for storing a management table for making an indication state of the indicator in the display direction correspond to control information for controlling an operation of the information processing apparatus for the indication state in column 4, lines 7-10; detection means for detecting the indication state of the indicator on in fig.13, block S12; and control means for controlling the operation of the information processing apparatus on the basis of control information of the management table corresponding to a detection result of said detection means in claim 5.

As per claims 2 Sakamoto teaches scroll operation of a display window of the display on column 4, line 11.

As per claims 7 Sakamoto teaches change means for changing contents of the

.Application/Control Number: 09/619,540

Art Unit: 2672

management table on the basis of the indication state of the indicator in col.8 lines 65-68 and col.9 lines 1-6.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto in view of Robbins.

As per claims 3, and 4 Sakamoto teaches a rectangular shape that can serve as a vertically elongated screen for displaying a display window with a long side of the rectangle directed vertically, or a horizontally elongated screen for displaying the display window with the long side directed horizontally in the abstract.

Sakamoto does not teach vertical and horizontal scroll corresponding to the vertical or horizontal elongation screens.

However, Robbins teaches the display direction is a vertical direction corresponding to the vertically elongated screen, correspond to control information for controlling vertical scroll operation of the vertically elongated screen, and makes an indication state of the indicator when the display direction is a horizontal direction corresponding to the horizontally elongated screen, Application/Control Number: 09/619,540

Art Unit: 2672

correspond to control information for controlling horizontal scroll operation of the horizontally elongated screen in column 3, lines 13-22.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Robbins into Sakamoto to provide a display with vertical and horizontal scroll corresponding to the vertical or horizontal elongation screens so that a user reviews through documents rapidly by using the horizontal and vertical scroll key which in the case of documents extending beyond the regular number of screen row and column provides an instrumental utility by elongation to the extreme right and bottom part of the documents.

As per claims 6 Sakamoto does not teach a designation means including a predetermined icon in the display.

However, Robbins teaches the display with a designation means including a Predetermined icon in the display in fig.1, block 28.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Robbins into Sakamoto to provide a display with designation means including a predetermined icon so that a visual interface is present for ease of operation of the device and thereby providing the user with an indicator to make the applicable operation in the accordance with the visual interface e.g. clockwise vs. counter clockwise or movement in the direction indicated as opposed to the opposite direction and thus create a user friendly device.

As per claims 8 Sakamoto does not teach the indicator with at least either one of a jog dial switch and a shuttle switch.

However, Robbins teaches the indicator includes at least either one of a jog dial switch

Application/Control Number: 09/619,540

Art Unit: 2672

and a shuttle switch in col.3 lines 13-22(thumb button).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Robins into Sakamoto for at least either one of a jog dial switch and a shuttle switch to provide a hardware interface in the case of lack of a pointing device e.g. a mouse.

Claims 5, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto in view of Rubbery et al, hereinafter, Rubbery.

As per claims 5 Sakamoto does not teach focusing operation.

However, Rubbery teaches the management table makes the indication state of the indicator in-the display direction correspond to control information for controlling focusing operation to a plurality of focusing targets in a display window of the display in column 7, lines 30-35 (through the use of hot key).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Rubbery into Sakamoto for control focusing operation so that a user can easily identify and execute any selected operation through invoking of the focusing target.

As per claims 9 Sakamoto teaches detection of an indication direction.

Sakamoto does not teach an indication amount of the indicator.

However, Rubbery teaches an indication amount of the indicator in col.6, lines 29-32.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Rubbery into Sakamoto with an indication amount of the indicator so as to put the screen at any given angle of suitability to the viewer thereby proving a display

- Application/Control Number: 09/619,540

Art Unit: 2672

device with an indictor for any type of task e.g. artistic visualization of a piece of art which may require the use of a certain magnitude of the indictor to provide the desired view and or enhancements to the already existing art.

Claims 10-19 are similar in scope to claims 1-9 and are rejected under the same rational.

### **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure 5,592,195, 5,999,176, 5,661,632, 6,366,302, 5,189,404, 5,134,390, and 4542377.

### **Inquiry**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Rahmjoo whose telephone number is (703) 305-5658. The examiners can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713. The fax phone numbers for the

- Application/Control Number: 09/619,540

Art Unit: 2672

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 (for TC2600 only) for regular communications and (703) 305-9051 for after final communications.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Mike Rahmjoo

September 10, 2002

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600